Individual Contribution – 50%

Excellent Grade 80-100	Good Grade 60-79	Poor Grade 0 - 60
Assessment by team members – Exceeded Expectations	Assessment by team members – Average/Met Expectations	Assessment by team members – Below Average
Provides regular contributions and timely responses at all stages of the project	Moderate participation in all stages of the project	Participates sporadically.
Provides constructive and thoughtful feedback and responds to questions at all stages of the project	Some feedback provided and most questions responded to.	Rarely provides feedback or responds to questions
Initiates discussion on various topics and contributes suggestions at all stages of the project	Occasionally initiates discussion and provides suggestions	Rarely initiates discussions and contributes few ideas
Summary provides a well written, clear and comprehensive description of the research source	Summary provides a moderately well written, clear/comprehensive description of the research	Limited or unclear description of resource provided in summary
Summary clearly indicates how the resource applies to the issue/research question	Summary provides a fair description of how he resource applies to the research question	Unclear link between resource and research question
Minimum requirement of 2 research sources per deliverable exceeded	Minimum requirement of 2 research sources met	Less than 2 research sources per deliverable provided

Group Deliverables

Excellent Grade 80 - 100	Good Grade 60 - 79	Poor Grade 0 - 60
The issues (research questions) identified represent good breadth of analysis and are critical to the challenges facing this organization.	The issues (research questions) identified represent moderate breadth of analysis and some are critical to the challenges facing this organization.	The issues (research questions) identified represent limited breadth of analysis and are not critical to the challenges facing the organization.
Comprehensive recommendations are supported by analysis and research	Comprehensive recommendations moderately supported by analysis and research.	Weak recommendations with limited links to analysis and/or research.
Recommendations clearly state what to do and why.	In most instances recommendations clearly state what to do and why.	General or vague recommendations missing the detail of what or why.
Professional format; including a title page, table of contents, introduction, bibliography. If appendices are used they are referenced in the report.	Professional format – including most sections of the report.	Limited formatting applied
No spelling/grammar errors	Minor spelling/grammar errors	Major spelling/grammar errors